

Neutrino Oscillations in Gravitational Field.

S. I. Godunov^{1,2,*} and G. S. Pastukhov^{1,2,**}

¹*Moscow Institute for Physics and Technology, Russia*

²*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Russia*

We calculate the gravitational correction to the phase difference between neutrino mass eigenstates. There is a number of papers, where this correction was calculated for the spherically symmetric gravitational field described by the Schwarzschild metric. The results of these papers differ from each other. Our result is close to that of Bhattachary, Habib and Mottola (see ref. [3,6]) and differs only by a coefficient: our correction is twice smaller.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 26.65.+t

1. INTRODUCTION

An investigation of neutrino oscillations is of great interest for particle physics. Neutrino oscillations in vacuum are described well by the existing theory (see, for example, [1]). However, the oscillation pattern changes for the propagation in an external gravitational field. In the paper we consider neutrino oscillation in the gravitational field described by the Schwarzschild metric.

The correction to the phase difference of neutrino mass eigenstates due to the gravitational field described by the Schwarzschild metric was calculated in papers [2–8]. The results obtained in these papers differ from each other. In this paper we make our own calculation.

In the problem of oscillations the spin of a particle is not important, so further we consider neutrino as a scalar particle. We also assume that the energies of the mass eigenstates which interfere are equal. Arguments in favor of such an assumption can be found in papers [9–12].

In Section 2 we calculate in the eikonal approximation the phase difference of neutrino

* Electronic address: sgodunov@itep.ru

** Electronic address: grigoriypas@gmail.com

mass eigenstates propagating in a radial direction in the gravitational field described by the Schwarzschild metric. In Section 3 we compare our result with results of other authors.

We use the system of units where $\hbar = c = 1$.

2. RADIAL PROPAGATION IN THE SCHWARZSCHILD METRIC

We consider neutrino that was produced at the distance r_A from the center of the star which creates the gravitational field and was detected at r_B . Moreover, the source and the detector are on the line on which the center of the star is located.

Gravitational field of a spherically symmetric massive body is described by the Schwarzschild metric (see [13], Eq. (100.14)). In this metric the square of the interval looks like:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (1)$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is a metric tensor, $r_g = 2GM$ is the Schwarzschild radius (M is the mass of the star), $d\Omega$ is the angular part of the metric which is not important for a radial propagation.

The wave function of neutrino can be written in the form (subscript a corresponds to the a -th mass eigenstate):

$$\Psi_a(\mathbf{x}, t) = A_a(\mathbf{x}, t) e^{i\Phi_a(\mathbf{x}, t)}. \quad (2)$$

Let us calculate neutrino phase $\Phi_a(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the eikonal approximation. This approximation means that the variation of $k_{\mu a} = -\partial\Phi_a(\mathbf{x}, t)/\partial x^\mu$ and $A_a(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is small on the wave length. Following [13] (§ 53, § 101) and [14] (§ 8) we write the eikonal equation in the form

$$g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial\Phi_a}{\partial x^\nu} \frac{\partial\Phi_a}{\partial x^\mu} - m_a^2 = 0, \quad (3)$$

where m_a is the mass of a -th mass eigenstate.

The equation (3) coincides exactly with the Hamilton–Jacobi equation for a classical particle which was solved for the Schwarzschild metric in [13] (§ 101). Thus we can use the result for $\Phi_a(\mathbf{x}, t)$ from [13]:

$$\Phi_a = -Et + L\varphi + \int_{r_A}^{r_B} \left(E^2 \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right)^{-2} - \left(m_a^2 + \frac{L^2}{r^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right)^{-1} \right)^{1/2} dr, \quad (4)$$

where E is the energy defined at infinity, L is the angular momentum (we consider radial propagation so we put $L = 0$).

Performing Taylor expansion in m^2/E^2 up to the second term we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_a &= -Et + \int_{r_A}^{r_B} \frac{E}{1 - \frac{r_g}{r}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_a^2}{E^2} \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right)} dr \\ &\approx -Et + \int_{r_A}^{r_B} \frac{E}{1 - \frac{r_g}{r}} \left(1 - \frac{m_a^2}{2E^2} \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right) - \frac{m_a^4}{8E^4} \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right)^2\right) dr,\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

$$\Phi_a = -Et + \int_{r_A}^{r_B} \left(\frac{E}{1 - \frac{r_g}{r}} - \frac{m_a^2}{2E} - \frac{m_a^4}{8E^3} \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}\right) \right) dr. \quad (6)$$

Then for the phase difference of a -th and a' -th mass eigenstates we obtain

$$\Phi_{aa'} = \Phi_{a'} - \Phi_a = \frac{\delta m_{a'a}^2}{2E} (r_B - r_A) + \frac{\delta m_{a'a}^4}{8E^3} (r_B - r_A) - \frac{\delta m_{a'a}^4}{8E^3} r_g \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A}, \quad (7)$$

where $\delta m_{a'a}^2 = m_a^2 - m_{a'}^2$ and $\delta m_{a'a}^4 = m_a^4 - m_{a'}^4$.

The first term in the formula (7) is the well known expression for the phase difference for the propagation of neutrinos in vacuum. The second term does not depend on r_g , so it is not due to a gravitational field. This term is the correction of the order of $\delta m^4/E^3$ to the first term in the flat space-time. Therefore the gravitational correction to the phase difference is described by the third term.

There is a factor of $(1 - r_g/r)/8$ in front of m_a^4/E^3 in the formula (6). It explains why the second and the third terms in the formula (7) have the same coefficient $1/8$ and opposite signs. Moreover, the coefficients $1/2$ in front of the first term and $1/8$ in front of the second and the third terms appear as coefficients of Taylor expansion of $\sqrt{1-x}$ in x . Therefore the coefficients in front of the second and the third terms are defined unambiguously by the well known coefficient in front of the first term.

Let us make some numerical estimates of the contribution of the gravitational correction to oscillations of solar neutrinos¹. For the Sun we take $r_g = 3$ km, $(r_B - r_A) \approx r_B = 1.5 \cdot 10^8$ km, $E = 1$ MeV. In order to get the upper limit of the gravitational correction we assume $r_A = r_g$, $\delta m_{a'a}^4 = 2m^2\delta m_{a'a}^2 \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ eV⁴ (since $\delta m_{a'a}^4 = \delta m_{a'a}^2(m_a^2 + m_{a'}^2) \leq 2m^2\delta m_{a'a}^2$), where $m = 2$ eV is the present upper bound on the neutrino mass, $\delta m_{a'a}^2 = 8 \cdot 10^{-5}$ eV² (see [16], p. 541). Then the phase difference is $\Phi_{aa'} \approx 3 \cdot 10^7 + 6 \cdot 10^{-5} - 2 \cdot 10^{-11}$ (each number in

¹ In fact, the neutrino oscillation pattern changes because of the Mikheev–Smirnov–Wolfenstein effect (see [15]). But to estimate the order of the gravitational correction we do not take this effect into account.

this sum equals to the value of the corresponding term in the formula (7)). Since the third term is much smaller than the first and the second terms, the gravitational phase shift is not observable.

3. COMPARISON WITH LITERATURE

3.1. Papers [3] and [6]

Our result is close to that obtained in papers [3, 6] and differs only by a factor in the second and the third terms of the formula (7). The authors of these papers got $1/4$ while we obtained $1/8$. Most likely, there is a mistake in the Taylor expansion of the square root in the formula (14) in [3] (and in the formula (12) in [6]). In a conversation with us during the 4-th Sakharov Conference on May 22, 2009 one of these authors, Emil Mottola, said that he admitted the possibility of such an error.

3.2. Papers [7] and [8]

In the papers [7, 8] the gravitational correction was calculated only in the order $\delta m^2/E$. The following expression for the phase difference of neutrino mass eigenstates was obtained:

$$\Phi_{aa'} = \frac{\delta m_{a'a}^2}{2E} |r_B - r_A|. \quad (8)$$

The absence of the influence of gravity in the first order in $\delta m^2/E$ is in agreement with our result (see the formula (7)). The next order in $\delta m^2/E$ where gravity appears non-trivially was not considered in [7, 8].

But having obtained the absence of the influence of gravity in the first order in $\delta m^2/E$ the authors say that gravity appears implicitly. To show this they rewrite global variables in terms of local variables.

As local variables the authors of [7, 8] use local energy $E^{(\text{loc})}(r_B) = E(1 - r_g/r_B)^{-1/2}$ and proper distance L_p between the points r_A and r_B :

$$L_p = \int_{r_A}^{r_B} \sqrt{g_{rr}} dr \approx \int_{r_A}^{r_B} \left(1 + \frac{r_g}{2r}\right) dr = (r_B - r_A) + \frac{r_g}{2} \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A}.$$

Here they keep only the first order in r_g in the second equality.

Then the formula (8) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{aa'} &= \frac{\delta m_{a'a}^2}{2E} |r_B - r_A| \approx \frac{\delta m_{a'a}^2 L_p}{2E^{(\text{loc})}(r_B)} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{r_g}{2L_p} \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A}\right)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{r_g}{r_B}}} \\ &\approx \frac{\delta m_{a'a}^2 L_p}{2E^{(\text{loc})}(r_B)} \left(1 - \frac{r_g}{2L_p} \left(\ln \frac{r_B}{r_A} - \frac{L_p}{r_B}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Such a transformation from global to local variables can be done for any quantity. Therefore, the obtained apparent dependence on gravity is not specific for the physics of neutrino oscillations.

3.3. Papers [2], [4] and [5]

The result for the gravitational correction obtained in the papers [2, 4, 5] differs greatly from ours. The authors of these papers state that the nontrivial correction arises already in the terms of the order of $\delta m^2/E$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are thankful to L. B. Okun, M. I. Vysotsky, A. D. Dolgov, M. B. Voloshin and M. V. Rotaev for valuable remarks and discussions.

This work was supported by the RFBR grants 07-02-00830-a, 08-02-00494-a and by the grant SH-4568.2008.2.

-
1. B. Kayser, Phys. Lett. B **667**, 163 (2008), hep-ph/0804.1497.
 2. D. V. Ahluwalia and C. Burgard, Gen. Rel. Grav. **28**, 1161 (1996), gr-qc/9603008.
 3. T. Bhattacharya, S. Habib, and E. Mottola, gr-qc/9605074.
 4. D. V. Ahluwalia and C. Burgard, gr-qc/9606031.
 5. D. V. Ahluwalia and C. Burgard, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4724 (1998), gr-qc/9803013.
 6. T. Bhattacharya, S. Habib, and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 067301 (1999).
 7. N. Fornengo, C. Giunti, C. W. Kim, and J. Song, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 1895 (1997), hep-ph/9611231.
 8. R. M. Crocker, C. Giunti, and D. J. Mortlock, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 063008 (2004), hep-ph/0308168.

9. L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 036006 (1998), hep-ph/9802387.
10. H. J. Lipkin, hep-ph/0212093.
11. A. D. Dolgov *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **729**, 79 (2005), hep-ph/0505251.
12. M. Vysotsky, Surveys High Energ. Phys. **18**, 19 (2003), hep-ph/0307218.
13. L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *Classical Theory of Fields* (Fizmatlit, Moscow, 1986).
14. L. Stodolsky, Gen. Rel. Grav. **11**, 391 (1979).
15. A. Y. Mikheev, S. P. Smirnov, Sov. Phys. Usp. **30**, 759 (1987).
16. C. Amsler *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **667**, 1 (2008).